arXiv:1410.7315v2 [math.AP] 28 Oct 2014

LINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT RESONANCE WITHOUT LANDESMAN-LAZER CONDITIONS

ALZAKI FADLALLAH

Abstract. We are concerned with the solvability of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions at resonance, in which the nonlinear boundary conditions perturbation is not necessarily required to satisfy Landesman-Lazer conditions or the monotonicity assumption. The nonlinearity may be unbounded. The nonlinearity interact, in some sense with the Steklov spectrum on boundary nonlinearity. The proofs are based on a priori estimates for possible solutions to a homotopy on suitable trace and topological degree arguments.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned existence results for strong solutions of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations with nonlinear boundary condition at resonance of the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + c(x)u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \mu_j u + g(x,u) + h(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ is a bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2, $c \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \geq N$ and $c \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω with strict inequality on a set of positive measure, $\partial/\partial\nu := \nu \cdot \nabla$ is the outward (unit) normal derivative on $\partial\Omega$, μ_j is j^{th} eigenvalue of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + c(x)u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \mu u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

$g\colon \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions i,e.;

i: $g(.,u)$ is measurable on $\partial\Omega$, for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$,
ii: $g(x,.)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, for $a.e.x \in \partial\Omega$,
iii: for any constant $r > 0$, there exists a function $\gamma_r \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, such that

$$|g(x,u)| \leq \gamma_r(x), \tag{1.3}$$

for $a.e.x \in \Omega$, and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|u| \leq r$,

and $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. By a (strong) solution to Eq.(1.1) we mean a function $u \in W^2_p(\Omega)$ satisfies Eq(1.1) (the second equality in Eq.(1.1) being satisfied in the sense of trace).

The paper is organized as follows:- In section 2 we study some of the properties of problem (1.2). In section 3 is devoted the main results, and we illustrate our

main theorem by giving an example of an unbounded nonlinear at boundary of Ω doesn't satisfy Landesman-Lazer conditions at the boundary, non monotonicity assumption at the boundary. We conclude the paper with some further results and remarks. All the of our results are based upon Leray-Schauder continuation method and topological degree

2. Some of the properties of problem (1.2)

Let $H^1(\Omega) = W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ where $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is usual real Sobolev space of functions on Ω
Let defined the real inner-product as

$$< u, v >_c := \int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)uv \ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

we proof that $< u, v >_c$ indeed inner-product first we know that $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{P^*}(\Omega)$ where $P^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ then

$$\int_\Omega c(x)u^2 \leq^{Holder\ inequality} \left(\int_\Omega c(x)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_\Omega u^{2q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, since $u \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)$ this implies that $q = \frac{N}{N-2}$, such that $\left(\left(\int_\Omega u^{2\frac{N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2N}}\right)^2 = ||u||^2_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}$ so $\frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{N-2}{N} = \frac{2}{N}$, so that $p = \frac{N}{2}$, this implies that $c \in L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)$, since Ω is bounded this implies that (if $1 \leq r < s \leq \infty$, then $L^s(\Omega) \subset L^r(\Omega)$) so that $c \in L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p \geq \frac{N}{2}$ for $N \geq 3$ (when $N = 2$, p=1). then $\int_\Omega c(x)u^2 < \infty$ for all $p \geq \frac{N}{2}$ and for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$

- $$< u, v >_c = \int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)uv = \int_\Omega \nabla v.\nabla u + \int_\Omega c(x)vu =< v, u >_c \ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$$
- $$\forall a \in \mathbb{R} \ < au, v >_c = \int_\Omega \nabla au.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)auv = a\left(\int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)uv\right) = a < u, v >_c$$
- $$< u, u >_c = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \int_\Omega c(x)u^2 \geq 0$$
  , if $u \equiv 0$ this implies that $< u, u >_c = 0$, if $< u, u >_c = 0$ this implies that $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \int_\Omega c(x)u^2 = 0$ there for $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 = 0$ and $\int_\Omega c(x)u^2 = 0$ since $c(x) > 0$ this implies that $u \equiv 0$

We will first study the spectrum that will be used for the comparison with nonlinearities in equation ((1.2)). This spectrum include the Steklov (When $c = 0$).

Consider the linear problem (Eq(1.2) The eigenproblem is to find a pair $(\mu, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\Omega)$ with $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega \nabla\varphi.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)\varphi v = \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi v \ \forall \in H^1(\Omega) \tag{2.1}$$

Now let $v = \varphi$, we see that if there such an eigenpair, then $\mu > 0$ and $\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^2 > 0$ since

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi|^2 + \int_\Omega c(x)\varphi^2 = \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^2$$

we know that $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ and $\int_\Omega c(x)dx > 0$ (otherwise, φ would be a constant function then we have that $\frac{1}{|\partial\Omega|}\int_\Omega c(x)dx = \mu,\ \mu > 0$) It is there fore a appropriate to consider the closed linear subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$V(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 = 0 \ .i.e;\ \Gamma u = 0 \ a.e \ on \ \partial\Omega \right\} = H_0^1(\Omega)$$

where Γu denotes the trace of u on $\partial\Omega$ and to look for the eigenfunctions associated with equation(1.2) in the $c-$orthogonal complement $[V(\Omega)]^\perp = [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ of this subspace in $H^1(\Omega)$. Thus, one can split the Hilbert space $H^1(\Omega)$ as a direct $c-$orthogonal sum in the following way (Since$\overline{C_0^\infty()(\Omega)}^{||.||_{H^1(\Omega)}} = H_0^1(\Omega)$ also we have that $Ker\Gamma = H_0^1(\Omega)$ i.e.; let $u_m \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ $u_m \to u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ we will show that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ since Γ is conditions map you have that $\Gamma u_m \to \Gamma u$ since $Ker\Gamma = H_0^1(\Omega)$ this implies that $\Gamma u = 0$ $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ i.e.; $u_m \to 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ so $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ then $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is closed linear subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$)

$$H^1(\Omega) = H_0^1(\Omega) \oplus_c [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$$

Note also if $(\mu, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\Omega)$ is an eigenpair, then it follows from the definition of $H_0^1(\Omega$ that

$$< \varphi, v >_c = \int_\Omega \nabla\varphi\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)\varphi v = 0,\ \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \ and \ \forall\varphi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$$

Besides the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$, we shall make use in what follows the real Lebesgue space $L^q(\partial\Omega)$ for $1 \le q \le \infty$, and of the continuity and compactness of the trace operator.

$$\Gamma : H^1(\Omega) \to L^q(\partial\Omega) \ for \ 1 \le q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$$

sometime we will just use u in place of Γu when considering the trace of function on $\partial\Omega$ Throughout this work we denote the $L^2(\partial\Omega)-$ inner product by

$$< u, v >_\partial := \int_{\partial\Omega} uv \ and \ ||u||_\partial^2 := \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 \ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{F} : H^1(\Omega) \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is functional, then $\mathbb{F}$is said to be G-differentiable at a point $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ if there is a $\mathbb{F}'(u)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}[\mathbb{F}(u+tv) - \mathbb{F}(u)] = \mathbb{F}'(u)v$$

With $\mathbb{F}'(u)$ a continuous linear functional on $H^1(\Omega)$ In this case, $\mathbb{F}'(u)$ is called the G-derivative of $\mathbb{F}$ at u

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that c as above. Then we have the following.*

i: *The eigenproblem* (1.2) *has a sequence of real eigenvalues*

$$0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_j \leq \cdots \to \infty \text{ as } j \to \infty$$

each eigenvalue has a finite-dimensional eigenspace.

ii: *The eigenfunctions φ_j corresponding to the eigenvalues μ_j from an $c-$orthogonal and $\partial-$orthonormal family in $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ (a closed subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$*

iii: *The normalized eigenfunctions provide a complete $c-$orthonormal basis of $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$. Moreover, each function in $u \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ has a unique representation of the from*

$$\begin{gathered} u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j \text{ with } c_j := \frac{1}{\mu_j} < u, \varphi_j >_c = < u, \varphi_j >_\partial \\ ||u||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j |c_j|^2 \end{gathered} \tag{2.2}$$

In addition,

$$||u||_\partial^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2$$

Proof. We will prove the existence of a sequence of real eigenvalues μ_j and the eigenfunctions φ_j corresponding to the eigenvalues μ_j that form an orthogonal family in $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$
We will define the functionals

$$\begin{gathered} \mathbb{I} : H^1(\Omega) \to [0, \infty) \text{ by} \\ \mathbb{I}(u) := \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \int_\Omega c(x)u^2 = ||u||_c^2, \ \forall u \in H^1(\Omega) \\ \text{and} \\ \mathbb{J} : H^1(\Omega) \to [-1, \infty) \text{ by} \\ \mathbb{J}(u) := \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 - 1 = ||u||_\partial^2 - 1, \ \forall u \in H^1(\Omega) \end{gathered} \tag{2.3}$$

Clearly $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathbb{J}$ are C^1- functional (i.e.; continuous differentiable) We compute $\mathbb{I}'(u)v\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{gathered}\lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}[\mathbb{I}(u+tv)-\mathbb{I}(u)] = \\ \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\left[\int_\Omega |\nabla u + tv|^2 + \int_\Omega c(x)(u+tv)^2 - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 - \int_\Omega c(x)u^2\right] = \\ \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\left(\int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 + 2t\nabla u\nabla v + t^2|\nabla v|^2) + \int_\Omega (c(x)u^2 + 2tuv + t^2v^2) - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 - \int_\Omega c(x)u^2\right) \\ \therefore \mathbb{I}'(u)v = 2\left[\int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)uv\right]\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)\end{gathered} \tag{2.4}$$

Now you compute $\mathbb{J}'(u)v\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{gathered}\lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}[\mathbb{J}(u+tv)-\mathbb{J}(u)] = \\ \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\left[\int_{\partial\Omega} (u+tv)^2 - \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2\right] = \\ \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\left[\int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 + 2t\int_{\partial\Omega} uv + t^2\int_{\partial\Omega} v^2 - \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2\right] \\ \therefore \mathbb{J}'(u)v = 2\int_{\partial\Omega} uv\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)\end{gathered} \tag{2.5}$$

Claim

$\mathbb{I}'(u)v$ and $\mathbb{J}'(u)v$ are continuous functionals

Proof. Of the claim Let $u_m \to u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, we will show that $||\mathbb{I}'(u_m)-\mathbb{I}'(u)||_{\mathbb{L}(H^1(\Omega),\mathbb{R})} \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, and $||\mathbb{J}'(u_m)-\mathbb{J}'(u)||_{\mathbb{L}(H^1(\Omega),\mathbb{R})} \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, where $\mathbb{L}(H^1(\Omega),\mathbb{R})$ the set of all continuous functional from $H^1(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ since we know that

$$||\mathbb{I}'(u_m)-\mathbb{I}'(u)||_{\mathbb{L}(H^1(\Omega),\mathbb{R})} = \sup_{||v||_c=1} |\mathbb{I}'(u_m)v - \mathbb{I}'(u)v|,\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

$$|\mathbb{I}'(u_m)v - \mathbb{I}'(u)v| = 2\left|\int_\Omega \nabla u_m.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)u_m v - \int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)uv\right|$$

$$|\mathbb{I}'(u_m)v - \mathbb{I}'(u)v| \le 2\left[\int_\Omega |\nabla u_m - \nabla u|.|\nabla v| + \int_\Omega \sqrt{c(x)}\sqrt{c(x)}|u_m - u||v|\right]$$

$$\le^{Holder\ inequality} 2\left[\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_m - \nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_\Omega c(x)|u_m - u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega c(x)|v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

$$\le 2||u_m - u||_c||v||_c \to 0\ as\ m \to \infty$$

then, $||\mathbb{I}'(u_m) - \mathbb{I}'(u)||_{\mathbb{L}(H^1(\Omega),\mathbb{R})} \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, so that $\mathbb{I}'(u)v$ is continuous functional.

Let $v_m \to v$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, we will show that $|\mathbb{I}'(u)v_m - \mathbb{I}'(u)v| \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, and $|\mathbb{J}'(u)v_m - \mathbb{J}'(u)v| \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, since we know that

$$|\mathbb{I}'(u)v_m - \mathbb{I}'(u)v| = 2\left|\int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v_m + \int_\Omega c(x)uv_m - \int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)uv\right|$$

$$|\mathbb{I}'(u)v_m - \mathbb{I}'(u)v| \le 2\left[\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m - \nabla v|.|\nabla u| + \int_\Omega \sqrt{c(x)}\sqrt{c(x)}|v_m - v||u|\right]$$

$$\leq^{Holder\ inequality} 2\left[\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m - \nabla v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_\Omega c(x)|v_m - v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega c(x)|u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$
$$\leq 2||v_m - v||_c||u||_c \to 0 \ as\ m \to \infty$$

then, $|\mathbb{I}'(u)v_m - \mathbb{I}'(u)v| \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, so that $\mathbb{I}'(u)v$ is continuous functional. similar argument we can prove that $\mathbb{J}'(u)v$ is continuous functional. □

This implies that $\mathbb{I},\ and\ \mathbb{J}$ are C^1- functionals □

We know that $\mathbb{I}$ is convex we will proof that $\forall t \in (0,1)$ and $\forall\ u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\mathbb{I}(tu + (1-t)v) = ||tu + (1-t)v||_c^2 \leq (||tu||_c + ||(1-t)v||_c)^2$$
$$\leq t^2||u||_c^2 + 2t(1-t)||u||_c||v||_c + (1-t)^2||v||_c^2 \leq$$
$$t^2||u||_c^2 + t(1-t)(||u||_c^2 + ||v||_c^2) + (1-t)^2||v||_c^2 \leq$$
$$t^2||u||_c^2 + t||u||_c^2 - t^2||u||_c^2 + t||v||_c^2 - t^2|v||^2 + ||v||_c^2 - 2t||v||_c^2 + t^2||v||_c^2$$
$$= t||u||_c^2 + (1-t)||v||_c^2 = t\mathbb{I}(u) + (1-t)\mathbb{I}(v)$$

So that $\mathbb{I}$ is convex functional.
We know that $\mathbb{I}$ is G-differentiable.
Claim:- $\forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$ then $\mathbb{I}'(u)(v-u) \leq \mathbb{I}(v) - \mathbb{I}(u)$

Proof. IF $\mathbb{I}$ is convex, then

$$\mathbb{I}(u + t(v-u)) \leq \mathbb{I}(u) + t(\mathbb{I}(v) - \mathbb{I}(u))\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)\ \forall t \in (0,1)$$
$$\frac{\mathbb{I}(u + t(v-u)) - \mathbb{I}(u)}{t} \leq \mathbb{I}(v) - \mathbb{I}(u)\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)\ \forall t \in (0,1)$$
$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\mathbb{I}(u + t(v-u)) - \mathbb{I}(u)}{t} \leq \mathbb{I}(v) - \mathbb{I}(u)\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)\ \forall t \in (0,1)$$

so we have that

$$\mathbb{I}'(u)(v-u) \leq \mathbb{I}(v) - \mathbb{I}(u)\ \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

□

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{I}$ be G-differentiable and convex, then $\mathbb{I}$ is weakly lower-semi-continuous*

Proof. Since we have $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ since $\mathbb{I}'$ is continuous then, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{I}'(u)(u_n) = \mathbb{I}'(u)(u)$ by the claim a above we have that

$$\mathbb{I}'(u)(u_n - u) \leq \mathbb{I}(u_n) - \mathbb{I}(u)$$

so we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{I}'(u)(u_n - u) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}(\mathbb{I}(u_n) - \mathbb{I}(u))$$

since the limit of the left hand side exist and equal zero then we have that

$$0 \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}(\mathbb{I}(u_n) - \mathbb{I}(u))$$

so we have

$$\mathbb{I}(u) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{I}(u_n)$$

therefore $\mathbb{I}$ is weakly lower-semi-conditions □

When $N = 2$ we know that $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ when $q \in [2, \infty)$ let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ then $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ when $q \in [2, \infty)$, by Hölder inequality

$$\int_\Omega c(x)u^2 \leq \left(\int_\Omega c(x)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_\Omega u^{2r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ so $2r = q \Rightarrow r = \frac{q}{2}$ $\forall q \in [2, \infty)$ then $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $p = \frac{r}{r-1} > 1$ For $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$. Now we show that $\mathbb{I}$ attains its minimum on the constraint set $W_0 = \{u \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp : \mathbb{J}(u) = 0\}$. Let $\alpha = \inf_{u \in W_0} \mathbb{I}(u)$, by using the continuity of the trace operator, the Sobolev embedding theorem and the lower semi-continuity of $\mathbb{I}$ Let $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a minimizing sequence in W_0 for $\mathbb{I}$ since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{I}(u_n) = \alpha$, we know that $\mathbb{I}(u_n) = ||u_n||_c^2$ by the definition of α we have that for all sufficiently large n, and for all $\epsilon > 0$, then $||u_n||_c^2 \leq \alpha + \epsilon$ by using the equivalent norm we have that there is exist β such that

$$||u_n||^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \beta ||u_n||_c^2$$

so we have that

$$||u_n||^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \beta ||u_n||_c^2 \leq \beta(\alpha + \epsilon),$$

so this sequence is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$. Thus it has a weakly convergent subsequence $\{u_{n_j} : j \geq 1\}$ which convergent weakly to limit $\hat{u}$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. From Rellich's theorem this subsequence convergent strongly to $\hat{u}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ so $\hat{u}$ in W_0. Thus $\mathbb{I}(\hat{u}) = \alpha$ as the functional is weakly l.s.c.

Then there exists φ_1 such that $\mathbb{I}(\varphi_1) = \alpha$. Hence, $\mathbb{I}$ attains its minimum at φ_1 and φ_1 satisfies the following

$$\int_\Omega \nabla\varphi_1 \nabla v + \int_\Omega c(x)\varphi_1 v = \mu_1 \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_1 v \tag{2.6}$$

For all $v \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ We see that (μ_1, φ_1) satisfies (2.1) and $\varphi_1 \in W$ this implies that $\varphi_1 \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ by the definition of W, Now take $v = \varphi_1$ in (2.6), we obtain that the eigenvalue μ_1 is the infimum $\alpha = \mathbb{I}(\varphi_1) = \mu_1$. This means that we could define μ_1 by Rayleigh quotient

$$\mu_1 = \inf_{\substack{u \in H^1(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\mathbb{I}(u)}{||u||_\partial^2}$$

Clearly, $\mu_1 = \mathbb{I}(\varphi_1) \geq 0$. Indeed assume that $\mathbb{I}(\varphi_1) = 0$ then $|\nabla\varphi_1| = 0$ on Ω , hence φ_1 must be a constant that contradicts the assumptions imposed on $c(x)$. Thus $\mu_1 > 0$.

Now we show the existence of higher eigenvalues.
Define

$$\mathbb{F} : W_0 \to \mathbb{R} \; by \; \mathbb{F}(u) =< u, \varphi_1 >_\partial$$

we know that the kernel of $\mathbb{F}$

$$ker\mathbb{F} = \{u \in W_0 : \mathbb{F}(u) = 0, \; i.e.; < u, \varphi_1 >_\partial = 0\} =: W_1.$$

Since W_1 is the null-space of the continuous functional $< ., \varphi_1 >_\partial$ on $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$, W_1 is a closed subspace of $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$, and it is therefore a Hilbert space itself under the

same inner product $< .,. >_c$. Now we define

$$\mu_2 = \inf\{\mathbb{I}(u) : u \in W_1\} = \inf_{\substack{u\in W_1\\ u\neq 0}} \frac{\mathbb{I}(u)}{||u||^2_\partial}$$

Since $W_1 \subset W_0$ then we have that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$. Moreover, we can repeat the above arguments to show that μ_2 is achieved at some $\varphi_2 \in [H^1_0(\Omega)]^\perp$.
We let

$$W_2 = \{u \in W_1 :< u, \varphi_2 >_\partial = 0\},$$

and

$$\mu_3 = \inf\{\mathbb{I}(u) : u \in W_2\} = \inf_{\substack{u\in W2\\ u\neq 0}} \frac{\mathbb{I}(u)}{||u||^2_\partial}$$

Since $W_2 \subset W_1$ then we have that $\mu_2 \leq \mu_3$. Moreover, we can repeat the above arguments to show that μ_3 is achieved at some $\varphi_3 \in [H^1_0(\Omega)]^\perp$.
Proceeding inductively, we let

$$W_j = \{u \in W_{j-1} :< u, \varphi_j >_\partial = 0\},\ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\mu_{j+1} = \inf\{\mathbb{I}(u) : u \in W_j\} = \inf_{\substack{u\in Wj\\ u\neq 0}} \frac{\mathbb{I}(u)}{||u||^2_\partial}$$

In this way, we generate a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \ldots \leq \mu_j \leq \ldots$$

whose associated φ_j are $c-$orthogonal and $\partial-$orthonormal in $[H^1_0(\Omega)]^\perp$
Claim 1 $\mu_j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that the sequence is bounded above by constant. Therefore, the corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions φ_j is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$ (i.e.; by the definition of the limit at ∞ $\forall M > 0$, $\exists N > 0$ such that $|\varphi_j| > M$, whenever $j > N$, the ingation of the stetment $\exists M > 0$ such that $|\varphi_j| \leq M$ $\forall j$). By Rellich-Kondrachov theorem and the compactness of the trace operator, there is a Cauchy subsequence (which we again denote by φ_j such that

$$||\varphi_j - \varphi_k||^2_\partial \to 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Since the φ_j are $\partial-$orthonormal, we have that $||\varphi_j - \varphi_k||^2_\partial = ||\varphi_j||^2_\partial + ||\varphi_k||^2_\partial = 2 > 0$, if$j \neq k$, which contradicts (2.7). Thus, $\mu_j \to \infty$. we have that each μ_j accurs only finitely many times. □

Claim 2 Each eigenvalue μ_j has a finite-dimensional eigenspace.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that each eigenvalue μ_j has infinite-dimensional eigenspace. let μ has corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_j, ...\}$ we know that $\mu = ||\varphi_1||^2_c = ... = ||\varphi_j||^2_c = ...$, this contradicts claim 1 therefore, each eigenvalue has a finite-dimensional eigenspace

□

We will show that the normalized eigenfunctions provide a complete orthonormal basis of $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$. Let

$$\psi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}}\varphi_j,$$

so that $||\psi_j||_c^2 = 1$

Claim 3 The sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is a maximal $c-$orthonormal family of $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$. (we know that the set maximal $c-$orthonormal if and only if it is complete orthonormal basis)

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that the sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is not maximal, then there exists a $\xi \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ and $\xi \notin \{\psi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$, such that $||\xi||_c^2 = 1$ and $< \xi, \psi_j >_c = 0\ \forall j$, i.e.;

$$0 =< \xi, \psi_j >_c =< \xi, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}}\varphi_j >_c =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}} < \xi, \varphi_j >_c =^{(\ by\ 2.6)} \frac{\mu_j}{\sqrt{\mu_j}} < \xi, \varphi_j >_\partial = \mu_j < \xi, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}}\varphi_j >_\partial = \mu_j < \xi, \psi_j >_\partial,$$

since $\mu_j > 0\ \forall j$. Therefore $< \xi, \psi_j >_\partial = 0$. We have that $\xi \in W_j\ \forall j \geq 1$. It follows from the definition of μ_j that

$$\mu_j \leq \frac{||\xi||_c^2}{||\xi||_\partial^2} = \frac{1}{||\xi||_\partial^2}\ \forall\ j \geq 1.$$

Since we know from claim 1 that $\mu_j \to \infty$ we have that $||\xi||_\partial^2 = 0$, therefore $\xi = 0$a.e in Ω, which condradicts the definition of ξ. Thus the sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is a maximal $c-$orthonormal family of $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$, so the sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ provides a complete orthonormal basis of $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$; that is, for any $u \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$,

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j\psi_j \text{ with } d_j =< u, \psi_j >_c = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}} < u, \varphi_j >_c, \text{ and } ||u||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |d_j|^2$$

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}}\varphi_j,$$

now let

$$c_j = d_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_j}} = \frac{1}{\mu_j} < u, \varphi_j >_c =^{(2.6)} < u, \varphi_j >_\partial .$$

Therefore,

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j\varphi_j,$$

and

$$||u||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 ||\varphi_j||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j |c_j|^2$$

□

Claim 4 We shall show that

$$||u||_\partial^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2$$

Proof.

$$||u||_\partial^2 =< u, u >_\partial =< \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j\varphi_j, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k\varphi_k >_\partial = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k < \varphi_j, \varphi_k >_\partial = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2.$$

Thus

$$||u||_\partial^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2$$

□

The following result gives a variational chararcterization of the eigenvalues and a splitting of the space $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$ (and, hence, of $H^1(\Omega)$ which will be needed in the proofs of the result on nonlinear problems.

Corollary 1 Assume that c satisfy the above condition. Then we have the following.

i: For all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$,

$$\mu_1 \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \int_\Omega c(x)u^2, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mu_1 > 0$ is the least Steklov eigenvalue for equation (1.2). If equality holds in (2.8), then u is a multiple of an eigenfunction of equation (1.2) corresponding to μ_1

ii: For every $v \in \oplus_{i\leq j} E(\mu_i)$, and $w \in \oplus_{i\geq j+1} E(\mu_i)$, we have that

$$||v||_c^2 \leq \mu_j ||v||_\partial^2 \; and \; ||w||_c^2 \geq \mu_{j+1} ||w||_\partial^2 \tag{2.9}$$

where $E(\mu_i)$ is the μ_i-eigenspace and $\oplus_{i\leq j} E(\mu_i)$ is span of the eigenfunctions associated to eigenvalues up to μ_j

Proof. If $u = 0$, then the inequality (2.8) holds. otherwise, if $0 \neq u \in H^1(\Omega)$, then $u = u_1 + u_2$, where $u_1 \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^\perp$, and $u_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, by the $c-$orthogonality, and the characterization of μ_1 (i.e.; $\mu_1 ||u_1||_\partial^2 \leq ||u_1||_c^2$) we get that

$$\mu_1 ||u||_\partial^2 = \mu_1(||u_1||_\partial^2 + ||u_2||_\partial^2 \leq ||u_1||_c^2 + ||u_2||_c^2 = ||u||_c^2$$

. Thus, the inequality (2.8) holds.
Now assume we have that

$$||u||_c^2 = \mu_1 ||u||_\partial^2 \implies \mu_1 = \frac{||u||_c^2}{||u||_\partial^2}$$

we know that $\mu_1 = \frac{||\varphi_1||_c^2}{||\varphi_1||_\partial^2}$ where φ_1 the eigenfunction corresponding to μ_1, therefore, u is a multiple of an eigenfunction of equation (1.2) corresponding to μ_1
The inequalities (3.8) by 2.1 we have that

$$||v||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j |c_j|^2 \; \forall v \in \oplus_{i\leq j} E(\mu_i)$$

. Now let $\mu_j = \max \mu \; \forall i \leq j$, then we have that

$$||v||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j |c_j|^2 \leq \max \mu \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 = \mu_j ||v||_\partial^2 \; \forall \; v \in \oplus_{i\leq j} E(\mu_i)$$

$$||w||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j |c_j|^2 \ \forall v \in \oplus_{i \leq j} E(\mu_i)$$

. Now let $\mu_{j+1} = \min \mu \ \forall i \geq j+1$, then we have that

$$||w||_c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j |c_j|^2 \geq \min \mu \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 = \mu_{j+1} ||w||_\partial^2 \ \forall \ w \in \oplus_{i \geq j+1} E(\mu_i)$$

□

The following proposition shows the principality of the first eigenvalue μ_1.

Proposition 2.3. *The first eigenvalue μ_1 is simple if and only if the associated eigenfunction φ_1 does not changes sign (i.e.; φ_1 is strictly positive or strictly negative in Ω .*

Proof. Assume that the first eigenvalue μ_1 is simple, we will show that associated eigenfunction φ_1 does not changes sign in Ω, suppose it does and let $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-$, where $\varphi_1^+ = \max\{\varphi_1, 0\}$, and $\varphi_1^- = \min\{0, \varphi_1\}$
If $\varphi_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then $\varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^- \in H^1(\Omega)$ proof of that we know that $\varphi_1^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1 + |\varphi_1|)$ clearly $\varphi_1^+ \in L^2(\Omega)$, define

$$V_\epsilon = (\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \epsilon$$
$$|\varphi_1| = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} V_\epsilon,$$

we will show that

$$D^i V_\epsilon = \frac{\varphi_1}{(\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} D_i \varphi_1 \longrightarrow^{L^2(\Omega)} \ signD^i \varphi_1$$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, then $0 \leq V_\epsilon \leq |\varphi_1|$ since

$$V_\epsilon^2 = \left((\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \epsilon\right)^2 = \varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2 - 2(\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon + \epsilon^2 = \varphi_1^2 + 2\epsilon(\epsilon - (\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varphi_1^2,$$

therefore $V_\epsilon \leq |\varphi_1|$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} ||\frac{\varphi_1}{(\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} D_i \varphi_1 - signD^i \varphi_1||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\varphi_1}{(\varphi_1^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} D_i \varphi_1 \longrightarrow^{L^2(\Omega)} \ signD^i \varphi_1$$

Thus, $\varphi_1^+ \in H^1(\Omega)$, similar $\varphi_1^- \in H^1(\Omega)$
By the characterization of μ_1 it follows that

$$< \varphi_1, \varphi_1 >_c = \mu_1 < \varphi_1, \varphi_1 >_\partial,$$

since $\varphi_1^+ \in H^1(\Omega)$,and $\varphi_1^- \in H^1(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\mu_1 < \varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^+ >_\partial \leq < \varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^+ >_c,$$
$$\mu_1 < \varphi_1^-, \varphi_1^- >_\partial \leq < \varphi_1^-, \varphi_1^- >_c .$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq < \varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^+ >_c + < \varphi_1^-, \varphi_1^- >_c - \mu_1 < \varphi_1^+, \varphi_1^+ >_\partial - \mu_1 < \varphi_1^-, \varphi_1^- >_\partial =$$
$$< \varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-, \varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^- >_c - \mu_1 < \varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-, \varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^- >_\partial = < \varphi_1, \varphi_1 >_c - \mu_1 < \varphi_1, \varphi_1 >_\partial = 0.$$

It follows that φ_1^+, and φ_1^- are also eigenfunctions corresponding to μ_1 we have that $\varphi_1^+ > 0$ *a.e in* Ω, and $\varphi_1^- < 0$ *a.e in* Ω, which is impossible since μ_1 it is simple.

Thus φ_1 does not change sign in Ω.
Assume φ_1 change sig, then φ_1^+, and φ_1^- are also eigenfunctions corresponding to μ_1 and they are linearly independent. Hence, μ_1 is not simple. On the other hand, suppose that μ_1 is not simple, and let φ and ψ be two eigenfunctions corresponding to μ_1 they are linearly independent. If φ or ψ changes sign, then the proposition is proved. Otherwis, supposing without loss of generality that φ and ψ positive, we will prove that there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the eigenfunction (corresponding to μ_1) $\varphi + a\psi$ changes sign. Indeed, suppose that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi + \alpha\psi$ does not change. Let the function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be define by

$$h(\alpha) = \int \varphi + \alpha \int \psi.$$

Since h is continuous, there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h(a) = \int \varphi + a \int \psi = 0.$$

Hence, which contradicts the fact φ and ψ, are linearly independent. Thus, $\varphi + a\psi$, changes sign. The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.4. *Note that if we have smooth data and $\partial\Omega$ in 2.3, then the eigenfunction $\varphi_1(x)$ on $\partial\Omega$ as well, by the boundary point lemma (see for example Evans).*

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that*

$$g(x, u)u \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$, and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, suppose that for all constant $\sigma > 0$, there exist a constant $K = K(\sigma)$, and function $b = b(\sigma) \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$|g(x, u)| \leq (\Gamma(x) + \sigma)|u| + b(x), \tag{3.2}$$

for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$, and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, with $|u| \geq K$, where $\Gamma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, such that for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$

$$0 \leq \Gamma(x) \leq (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j), \ j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.3}$$

(where $(\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$ the $(j+1)^t h$ Steklov eigenvalue $(c = 0)$) with $\Gamma(x) < (\mu_2 - \mu_j)$, on a subset of $\partial\Omega$ of positive measure.
Then, equation (1.1) has least one solution $u \in W_p^2(\Omega)$ for any $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, with

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h(x)\varphi_j(x)dx = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

where φ_j the jth eigenfunction of (1.2)

By the solution of equation (1.1) we mean a function $u \in W_p^2(\Omega)$, which satisfies the differential equation *a.e.* To prove theorem (3.1) we shall need to three useful lemmas stated and proved below

We define the linear (Steklov when $c = 0$) boundary open

$$L : Dom(L) \subset W_p^2(\Omega) \Subset H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$$

by

$$Lu := \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \mu_j u,$$

where

$$Dom(L) := \{u \in W_p^2(\Omega) : -\Delta u + c(x)u = 0\}$$

We denote by $N(L)$ the nullspace of L and $R(L)$ closed range see [19], and we observe that

$$R(L) = (N(L))^{\perp}$$

which implies that the right inverse of L defined by

$$K = (Dom(L) \cap R(L))^{-1} : R(L) \to R(L)$$

is well defined continuous linear operator and K is compact (the proof similar proof in [19]). denoting by P_j the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace $N(L - \mu_j I)$ where (I is identity map), L admits the spectral spectral representation

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j P_j$$

For each $u \in H^1(\partial\Omega)$, (in Trace sense) let us write

$$u(x) = \overline{u}(x) + u^0(x) + \widetilde{u}(x), \ \forall \ \in \partial\Omega$$

where, if the Fourier expansion of u (see theorem 2.1)

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j u$$

then

$$\overline{u} = \sum_{1 \le j < N} P_j u$$

$$u^0 = P_N u$$

$$\widetilde{u} = \sum_{N < j < \infty} P_j u$$

so that, with obvious notations

$H^1(\partial\Omega) = \overline{H}^1(\partial\Omega) \bigoplus \mathring{H}^1(\partial\Omega) \bigoplus \widetilde{H}^1(\partial\Omega)$.

Moreover, we shall use the notation $u^{\perp} = u - u^0$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ be such that for a.e.$x \in \partial\Omega$, $0 \le \Gamma(x) \le (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$, with $\Gamma(x) < (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$, on a subset of $\partial\Omega$ of positive measure, with*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)\varphi_{j+1}^2(x)dx > 0 \tag{3.5}$$

for all $\varphi_{j+1} \in N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$, $\varphi_{j+1} \neq 0$ i.e.; $L\varphi_{j+1}(x) = \mu_{j+1}\varphi_{j+1}(x)$ eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_{j+1}

Then there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(\Gamma) > 0$, such that for all $u \in H^1(\partial\Omega)$, one has

$$D_{\Gamma}(u) := \langle Lu - (\mu_j + \Gamma)u, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_{\partial} \ge \delta ||u^{\perp}||_{H^1}^2(\partial\Omega)$$

Proof. Taking into account the orthogonality of $\overline{u}+u^0$ with respect to $\widetilde{u}$ and the fact that $u^0 \in N(L-\mu_j I)$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_\Gamma(u) &= \langle L(\overline{u}+u^0+\widetilde{u}) - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\overline{u}+u^0+\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\overline{u} + Lu^0 + L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\overline{u}+\widetilde{u}) - \mu_j u^0 - \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\overline{u} + \cancel{(L-\mu_j I)u^0} + L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\overline{u}+\widetilde{u}) - \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\overline{u} + L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\overline{u}+\widetilde{u}) - \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\overline{u} + L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\overline{u}+\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial + \langle L\overline{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle L\overline{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial + \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle L\overline{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle L\overline{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle L\overline{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \cancel{\langle L\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial} - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \cancel{\langle L\overline{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial} - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\cancel{\langle \widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial} + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \langle (\mu_j+\Gamma)\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}+u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad - \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u}+u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u} + u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad -\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle \widetilde{u}, Lu^0\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u} + u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle \overline{u}, Lu^0\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad -\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \cancel{\mu_j\langle \widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial} + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u} + u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \cancel{\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial} \\
&\quad -\cancel{\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial} + \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \cancel{\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial} - \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad +\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \\
&\quad \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \cancel{\left(\langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial\right)} + \cancel{\left(\langle \Gamma\widetilde{u}, u^0\rangle_\partial - \langle \Gamma u^0, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial\right)} - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad +\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma\overline{u}, (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial \\
&\quad +\langle \Gamma\overline{u}, (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial
\end{aligned}$$

$$D_\Gamma(u) = \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle \Gamma(\overline{u} + u^0), \overline{u} + u^0\rangle_\partial$$

Since $\Gamma(x)$ is nonegative for $a.e.;\ x \in \partial\Omega$ the last term is nonegative so we have

$$D_\Gamma(u) \geq \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial$$

By Parseval-Steklov identity ([25]), we have that

$$\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial$$

Since $L = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i P_i u$ and $\overline{u} = \sum_{1 \leq i < N} P_i u$ so that

$$\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial = \mu_j \sum_{1 \leq i < j} |P_i u|^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j} \mu_i |P_i u|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j} (\mu_j - \mu_i)|P_i u|^2$$

By theorem 2.1 we know that $(\mu_j - \mu_i) > 0$ whenever $i < j$ it clearly in case when $i = 1$ then $(\mu j - \mu_1) > 0$ this implies that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j} (\mu_j - \mu_i)|P_i u|^2 \geq \sum_{1 \leq i < j} [\min_i (\mu_j - \mu_i)]|P_i u|^2$$

so that

$$\mu_j\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial \geq \delta_1 ||\overline{u}||_\partial^2 \tag{3.6}$$

Where

$$\delta_1 = \mu_j - \mu_{j-1} > 0$$

Now, we show that there exists $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\Gamma)$, such that

$$\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial \geq \delta_2 ||\widetilde{u}||_\partial^2 \tag{3.7}$$

since we have that $\Gamma(x) \leq \mu_{j+1} - \mu_j$ for *a.e.*; $x \in \partial\Omega$ one has

$$\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial \geq \langle L\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \mu_{j+1}\langle \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial$$

sine we have that Since $L = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i P_i u$ and $\widetilde{u} = \sum_{N<i<\infty} P_i u$

so we get that

$$\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial \geq \sum_{j+1<i<\infty} (\mu_i - \mu_{j+1})|P_i u|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

since $\mu_i - \mu_{j+1} \geq 0 \ \forall \ j+1 < i < \infty$ Therefore, $\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $\widetilde{u} = \varphi_{j+1}$ with $\varphi_{j+1} \in N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$. Hence, by the assumption

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)\varphi_{j+1}^2(x)dx > 0$$

, we have claim

Claim 3.1. $\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial = 0$ *if and only if* $\widetilde{u} = 0$

Proof. If $\widetilde{u} = 0$, clearly that $\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial = 0$ Now if $\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial = 0$

$$\langle \mu_{j+1}\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j - \Gamma)\widetilde{u}^2 = 0$$

Since we have that $\Gamma(x) < (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$, on a subset of $\partial\Omega$ of positive measure, so that $\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j - \Gamma > 0$ so that $\widetilde{u}^2 = 0$, Therefore $\widetilde{u} = 0$ □

$$\langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}), \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial \geq \delta_2 ||\widetilde{u}||_\partial^2$$

Now assume the above relation is not true, then there is a sequence $\{\widetilde{u}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \widetilde{H}(\partial\Omega) \cup Dom(L)$ where $\widetilde{H}(\partial\Omega) := \{y \in W_p^2(\Omega) : y = \sum_{N<i<\infty} P_i y\}$ such that $||\widetilde{u}_n||_\partial = 1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\langle L\widetilde{u}_n - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}_n), \widetilde{u}_n\rangle_\partial \leq \frac{1}{n} \tag{3.9}$$

Now we write $\widetilde{H} = N(L - \mu_{j+1}I) \oplus_\partial \widetilde{H}^1$, where $N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$ is the finite-dimensional eigenspace and $\widetilde{H}^1$ is orthogonal (in $\widetilde{H}$) to $N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$. It is clear that

$$\widetilde{u}_n = w_n + v_n$$

with $w_n \in N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$ and $v_n \in \widetilde{H}^1$. Using inequalities (3.8) and (3.9),it follows that $v_n \to 0$ in $H^1(\partial\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$. Now $N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$ is finite-dimensional (see theorem 2.1) and since $1 = ||\widetilde{u}_n||_\partial^2 = ||w_n||_\partial^2 + ||v_n||_\partial^2$, we have a subsequence of $\{w_n\}$, which we many relabel as $\{w_n\}$, converges strongly to same $w \in N(L - \mu_{j+1}I)$ with $||w||_\partial = 1$, consequently,

$$\frac{1}{n} \geq \langle L\widetilde{u}_n - (\mu_j + \Gamma)(\widetilde{u}_n), \widetilde{u}_n\rangle_\partial = \langle Lw_n - (\mu_j + \Gamma)w_n, w_n\rangle_\partial - 2\langle (\mu_j + \Gamma)w_n, v_n\rangle_\partial + \langle Lv_n - (\mu_j + \Gamma)v_n, v_n\rangle_\partial$$

we know that $-\Gamma \geq (-\mu_{j+1} + \mu_j)$ and $v_n \in \widetilde{H}^1$

$$\langle Lv_n - (\mu_j + \Gamma)v_n, v_n\rangle_\partial = (\mu_{j+2} - \mu_{j+1})||v_n||^2_\partial$$

so we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \geq \langle L\widetilde{u}_n - (\mu_j+\Gamma)(\widetilde{u}_n), \widetilde{u}_n\rangle_\partial = \langle Lw_n - (\mu_j+\Gamma)w_n, w_n\rangle_\partial - 2\langle(\mu_j+\Gamma)w_n, v_n\rangle_\partial + \langle Lv_n - (\mu_j+\Gamma)v_n, v_n\rangle_\partial$$

$$\geq \langle(\mu_{j+1} - (\mu_j + \Gamma))w_n, w_n\rangle_\partial - 2\langle(\mu_j + \Gamma)w_n, v_n\rangle_\partial + (\mu_{j+2} - \mu_{j+1})||v_n||^2_\partial$$

Using $v_n \to 0$ and $w_n \to w$ as $n \to \infty$, one obtains

$$0 \geq \langle Lw_n - (\mu_j + \Gamma)w_n, w_n\rangle_\partial \to \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mu_{j+1} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)w_n^2\, dx$$

and since $\Gamma(x) \leq \mu_{j+1} - \mu_j$ for *a.e.*; $x \in \partial\Omega$ $\Gamma(x) < (\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$, on a subset of $\partial\Omega$ of positive measure, so that $\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j - \Gamma > 0$ one has

$$0 = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mu_{j+1} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)w_n^2\, dx \text{ with } w \in N(L - \mu_{j+1})$$

So that, by the assumption (3.5), one has $w = 0$. A contradiction with $||w||_\partial = 1$. Therefore, inequality (3.10) is proven.
Choosing $\delta = min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and observing that

$$||u^\perp||^2_\partial = ||\overline{u}||^2_\partial + ||\widetilde{u}||^2_\partial$$

Therefore,

$$D_\Gamma(u) := \langle Lu - (\mu_j + \Gamma)u, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial \geq \delta||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}$$

the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Gamma \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ be as in lemma3.1 and $\delta > 0$ be associated to Γ by that lemma. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for all $p \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$0 \leq p(x) \leq \Gamma(x) + \epsilon \tag{3.10}$$

a.e.; on $\partial\Omega$ and all $u \in Dom(L)$, one has

$$D_p(u) := \langle Lu - (\mu_j + \Gamma)u, \widetilde{u} - (\overline{u} + u^0)\rangle_\partial \geq (\delta - \epsilon)||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. If $u \in Dom(L)$, then using computations of lemma3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_p(u) &= \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + p)\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial + \langle p(\overline{u} + u^0), \overline{u} + u^0\rangle_\partial \\ &\geq \langle L\widetilde{u} - (\mu_j + \Gamma)\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial + \mu_j\langle\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle L\overline{u}, \overline{u}\rangle_\partial - \epsilon||\widetilde{u}||^2_\partial \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore, by the inequalities (3.7) and (3.6) one has

$$D_p(u) \geq (\delta - \epsilon)||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{3.13}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $q \in (0, \mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$ be fixed, then, exists a constant $\eta > 0$, such that for all $u \in Dom(L)$, one has*

$$||\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \mu_j u - qu||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \geq \eta||u||_{H^2}$$

Proof. By the theory of the linear first order differential equations [1, 7, 21], the operator

$$E : Dom(L) \to L^2(\partial\Omega)$$

defined by

$$Eu := \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \mu_j u + qu$$

Clearly $KerE = \{0\}$ so, E is one-to-one, onto and obivously continuous. It follows that $E^{-1} : L^2(\partial\Omega) \to Dom(L)$ is linear and continuous[7]. Taking $\eta \leq \frac{1}{||E^{-1}||}$

Remark 3.2. *we know that*

$$||E^{-1}|| = \sup_{u\neq 0} \frac{||E^{-1}(u)||_{H^2}}{||u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}}$$

so

$$\frac{||E^{-1}(u)||_{H^2}}{||u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}} \leq ||E^{-1}||$$

since you have taking

$$\eta \leq \frac{1}{||E^{-1}||} \leq \frac{||u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}}{||E^{-1}(u)||_{H^2}}$$

so we have that

$$\eta||E^{-1}(u)||_{H^2} \leq ||u||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$$

Since $u \in L^2(\partial\Omega)\exists y : \ u = Ey = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} + \mu_j y + qy$ *and* $E^{-1}u = y$ *Therefore,*

$$\eta||y)||_{H^2} \leq ||\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} + \mu_j y + qy||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$$

The proof is complete □

The following lemma is essentially due to De Figueredo[11] in the entire space we will make new version for the boundary, the proof is similar to the proof in [13] replace $[0, 2\pi]$ by $\partial\Omega$

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $g\colon \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function verifying Carathéodory conditions and satisfying the following conditions*

- **i:** *There exist functions* $a, A \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ *and constants* R_1, R_2 *with* $R_1 < 0 < R_2$, *such that*
$$g(x, u) \geq A(x)$$
for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$ *and all* $u \geq R_2$,
$$g(x, u) \leq a(x)$$
for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$ *and all* $u \leq R_1$.
- **ii:** *There exist functions* $b, c \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ *and a constant* $B \geq 0$ *such that*
$$g(x, u) \leq c(x)|u| + b(x)$$
for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$ *and all* $u \geq B$,
Then,
for each real number $k > 0$, *there is decomposition*
$$g(x, u) = q_k(x, u) + g_k(x, u) \tag{3.14}$$
of g *by functions* qk, *and* g_k *verifying Carathéodory conditions and satisfying the following conditions*
$$0 \leq uq_k(x, u), \ 0 \leq ug_k(x, u) \tag{3.15}$$

for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$ *and all* $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|q_k(x,u)| \leq c(x)|u| + b(x) + k \tag{3.16}$$

for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$ *and all* u *with* $|u| \geq \max(1, B)$, *there is a function* $\sigma_k \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ *depending on* a, A, *and* g *such that*

$$|g_k(x,u)| \leq \sigma_k \tag{3.17}$$

for a.e.; $x \in \partial\Omega$ *and all* $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

Assume that the function $g : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions and grows at most linearly *i.e.*;

$$|g(x,u)| \leq d|u| + e(x) \tag{3.18}$$

for some constant $d \geq 0$, some $e \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ $a.e.x \in \partial\Omega$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. by those assumptions now we can defined the nonlinear (Nemystkǐi) operator

$$\check{\mathrm{N}} : W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega) \subset C(\partial\Omega) \to W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)$$

by

$$\check{\mathrm{N}}u := g(., u(.))$$

We shall consider solvability of the equation (we will add and subtrac $(\mu_j u)$)

$$Lu - \mu_j u - \check{\mathrm{N}}u + \mu_j u = h \qquad \forall u \in Dom(L) \tag{3.19}$$

Eq(1.1) is then equivalent to (3.19)

Proof. Proof of Theorem3.1. Let $\delta > 0$ be associated to the function Γ by Lemma3.1. Then, by the assumption 3.2, there exist $B(\delta) = B > 0$ and $b = b(\delta) \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, such that

$$|g(x,u)| \leq (\Gamma(x) + \frac{\delta}{4})|u| + b(x), \tag{3.20}$$

for *a.e.;* $x \in \partial\Omega$, and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, with $|u| \geq B$. Useing Lemma3.4 with $k = 1$, equation (3.19 is then equivalent to

$$Lu - \mu_j u - q_1(., u(.)) - g_1(., u(.)) + \mu_j u = h \qquad \forall u \in Dom(L) \tag{3.21}$$

Where q_1, g_1 are Carathéodory functions satisfying conditions (3.15) and (3.19). Moreover by (3.16)

$$|q_1(x,u)| \leq (\Gamma(x) + \frac{\delta}{4})|u| + b(x) + 1 \tag{3.22}$$

for *a.e.;* $x \in \partial\Omega$ and all u with $|u| \geq \max(1, B)$, Let us choose $\bar{B} > \max(1, B)$ such that

$$\frac{(b(x)+1)}{|u|} < \frac{\delta}{4} \tag{3.23}$$

for *a.e.;* $x \in \partial\Omega$ and all u with $|u| \geq \bar{B}$,. It follows (3.22) and (3.23), one has

$$0 \leq u^{-1} q_1(x,u) \leq \Gamma(x) + \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{3.24}$$

for *a.e.;* $x \in \partial\Omega$ and all u with $|u| \geq \bar{B}$,.

Let us define

$$\widetilde{\gamma} : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(x,u)=\begin{cases} u^{-1}q_1(x,u) & \text{for} \quad |u|\geq \bar{B} \\ \bar{B}^{-1}q_1(x,\bar{B})(\frac{u}{\bar{B}})+(1-\frac{u}{\bar{B}})\Gamma(x) & \text{for} \quad 0\leq u\leq \bar{B} \\ \bar{B}^{-1}q_1(x,-K)(\frac{u}{\bar{B}})+(1+\frac{u}{\bar{B}})\Gamma(x) & \text{for} \quad -\bar{B}\leq u\leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, by assumption (3.15) and the relation (3.24), we have

$$0\leq \widetilde{\gamma}(x,u)\leq \Gamma(x)+\frac{\delta}{2} \tag{3.25}$$

for $a.e.;\ x\in\partial\Omega$, and all $u\in\mathbb{R}$. Moreover the function $\widetilde{\gamma}(x,u)u$ satisfies Carathéodory condition and

$$f:\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$f(x,u):=g_1(x,u)+q_1(x,u)-\widetilde{\gamma}(x,u)u, \tag{3.26}$$

is such that for $a.e.;\ x\in\partial\Omega$, and all $u\in\mathbb{R}$.

$$|f(x,u)|\leq v(x) \tag{3.27}$$

For some $v\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ dependent only on Γ and γ_K given by (3.18)

Now Let

$$h=-H$$

Therefore, equation (1.1,3.19,3.21) is equivalent $\forall u\in Dom(L)$

$$Lu-\mu_j u-\widetilde{\gamma}(.,u(.))u-f(.,u(.))+\mu_j u=-H(.)\ \forall u\in Dom(L)\ a.e.;x\in\partial\Omega \tag{3.28}$$

to which we shall apply Mawhin's continuation theorem [23] Let us define

$$G:W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)\subset C(\partial\Omega)\to W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)$$

by

$$Gu=\widetilde{\gamma}(.,u(.)u(.)+f(.,u(.))-H(x)$$
$$A:W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)\subset C(\partial\Omega)\to W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)$$

by

$$Au=\frac{\delta}{2}u(.)$$

Equation (3.28) is equivalent to solving

$$Lu-\mu_j u-Gu+\mu_j u=0 \tag{3.29}$$

in $Dom(L)$

If $N(L)$ is finite dimensional, it is clear that L is a linear Fredholm of index zero see [19] and G and A are well defined and $L-compact$ on bounded of $W_p^{1-\frac{1}{p}}(\partial\Omega)$. By theorem $IV.12$ in [23], equation (3.29) will have a solution if we can show that for any $\lambda\in[0,1)$ and any $u\in Dom(L)$ such that

$$Lu-\mu_j u-(1-\lambda)Au-\lambda Gu+\mu_j u=0 \tag{3.30}$$

one has $||u||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}<K_0$ (for some constant $K_0>0$ independent of λ and u) If $u\in Dom(L)$ satisfies (3.30) for some $\lambda\in[0,1)$, then one has

$$Lu(x)-\mu_j u(x)-[(1-\lambda)\frac{\delta}{2}+\lambda\widetilde{\gamma}(x,u(x))]u(x)-\lambda Gu+\lambda H(x)+\mu_j u(x)=0 \tag{3.31}$$

with, by (3.25)

$$0 \leq (1-\lambda)\frac{\delta}{2} + \lambda\widetilde{\gamma}(x, u(x)) \leq \Gamma(x) + \frac{\delta}{2}$$

for *a.e.*; $x \in \partial\Omega$

Remark 3.3.

$$\mu_j\langle\widetilde{u} - (\bar{u} + u^0), u(.)\rangle_\partial = \mu_j(\langle\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial$$
$$\geq \mu_j(-\langle\bar{u}, \bar{u}\rangle_\partial - \langle u^0, u^0\rangle_\partial)$$

By Cauchy Schwarz inequality

$$\mu_j\langle\widetilde{u} - (\bar{u} + u^0), u(.)\rangle_\partial \geq -(||\widetilde{u}||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} + ||\bar{u}||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} + ||u^0||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)})$$

It is clear for $\lambda = 0$, equation (3.30) has only the trivial *i.e.*; $u = 0$ solution in $Dom(L)$. Now if $u \in Dom(L)$ is solution of (3.30) foe some $\lambda \in [0.1)$, then using lemma 3.2, Cauchy Schwarz inequality,theorem 2.1 and Remark 3.3 we get

$$0 = \langle\widetilde{u}-(\bar{u}+u^0), Lu(x)-[\mu_j+(1-\lambda)\frac{\delta}{2}+\lambda\widetilde{\gamma}(., u(.))]u(.)\rangle_\partial+\langle\widetilde{u}-(\bar{u}+u^0), \lambda H(.)-f(., u(.)\rangle_\partial+\mu_j\langle\widetilde{u}-(\bar{u}+u^0), u(.)\rangle_\partial \geq$$

$$\frac{\delta}{2}||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}-(||\widetilde{u}||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}+||\bar{u}||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}+||u^0||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)})\big(\mu_j+||h||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}+||f(., u(.)||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\big)$$

$$\geq \frac{\delta}{2}||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}-(||\widetilde{u}||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}+||\bar{u}||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}+||u^0||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)})\big(\mu_1+||h||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}+||f(., u(.)||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\big)$$

and by inequality(3.27) we have

$$0 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} - \beta\big(||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} + ||u^0||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\big) \tag{3.32}$$

For some constant $\beta > 0$ dependent only on μ_1, v, and H (but not on u or λ. So that, taking $\alpha = \beta(\delta)^{-1}$ we have

$$||u^\perp||^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha||u^0||_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}} \tag{3.33}$$

Claim 3.2. *There exist a constant $K_0 > 0$ such that $||u||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)} < K_0$ for all $u \in Dom(L)$ satisfies (3.30) $K_0 > 0$ independent of λ and u)*

Proof of the claim. Assume that the claim does not hold. Then, there will be sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in the open interval $(0, 1)$, and $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $C^1(\partial\Omega)$ with $||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)} \geq n$ (in which $q \in (0, \mu_{j+1} - \mu_j)$ with $q = \frac{\delta}{2}$ fixed such that

$$Lu_n + (1-\lambda_n)qu_n - \lambda_n g(x, u_n) = \lambda_n h. \tag{3.34}$$

Let $v_n = \frac{u_n}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}}$, we have

$$||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}(Lv_n + qv_n) = \lambda_n h + \lambda_n qu_n + \lambda_n g(x, u_n)$$

$$Lv_n + qv_n = \frac{\lambda_n h}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} + \lambda_n qv_n + \frac{\lambda_n g(x, u_n)}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} \tag{3.35}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial\nu} - \mu_j + qv_n = \frac{\lambda_n h}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} + \lambda_n qv_n + \frac{\lambda_n g(x, u_n)}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} \tag{3.36}$$

or equivalent

$$Ev_n = \frac{\lambda_n h}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} + \lambda_n qv_n + \frac{\lambda_n g(x, u_n)}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} \tag{3.37}$$

Where $E : Dom(L) \subset C^1(\partial\Omega) \to L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is defined by $Ev = Lv + qv$. According to Lemma 3.3 and compact embedding of $Dom(L)$ into $C^1(\partial)$ [7], E is invertible and E^{-1} is compact (completely continuous) as an operator from $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ into $C^1(\partial\Omega)$. On the other hand, by inequality (1.3) and the growth condition 3.2, it follows that there exists a function $c \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ depending only in $R = R(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$|g(x,u)| \leq (\Gamma(x) + q)|u| + b(x) + c(x)$$

for $a.e.;\ x \in \partial\Omega$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. so that, the sequence $\frac{g(x,u_n)}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}}$ is bounded in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Hence the right-hand member of equality (3.37) is bounded in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ independent of n. Therefore, writing equation (3.37) in the equivalent form

$$v_n = E^{-1}\Big[\frac{\lambda_n h}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} + \lambda_n q v_n + \frac{\lambda_n g(x,u_n)}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}}\Big] \tag{3.38}$$

and using the compactness of $E^{-1} : L^2(\partial\Omega) to C^1(\partial\Omega)$ we can assume (going if necsessary to a subsequence relabeled v_n), that there exists $v \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ such that $v_n \to v$ in $C^1(\partial\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, $||v||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ and $v \in Dom(L)$ on the other hand using inequality 3.32 or 3.33 one deduces that $v_n^{\perp} \to o$ in $H^1(\partial\Omega)$. Therefore $v \in \widetilde{H}^1(\partial\Omega)$ i.e

$$v(x) = A\widetilde{u} = A\widetilde{u} = \sum_{N<j<\infty} P_j u = A \sum_{N<j<\infty} \varphi_j$$

choose that

$$||\widetilde{u}||^{C^1(\partial\Omega)} = 1$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{R}$ Since $||v||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ so that $A = \pm 1$ In what follows, we shall suppose that $v(x) = \widetilde{u}$ (the case $v(x) = -\widetilde{u}$ is treated in a similar way). Now, using the fact that $v_n \to v$ in $C^1(\partial\Omega)$ and with since $\widetilde{u}_n \in \ker L$ so

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_n}{\partial \nu} - \mu_j \widetilde{u}_n \to 0.$$

So that for $n \geq n_0$ $v_n(x) > 0$ for $a.e.;\ x \in \partial\Omega$ so,

$$u_n > 0 \ u_n \in Dom(L) \tag{3.39}$$

Now writing

$$v_n = \bar{v}_n + v_n^0 + \widetilde{v}_n$$

we have that $\bar{v}_n = A_n barv = A_n \sum_{1<j<N} \varphi_j$, $v_n^0 = B_n v^0 = B_n \varphi_N$, $\widetilde{v}_n = C_n \widetilde{v} = C_n \sum_{N<j<\infty} \varphi_j$ Let us look back to equation (3.36). Taking the inner product in $(L^2(\partial\Omega))$ of (3.36) with $\widetilde{v}_n$, remarking that $\lambda_n \in (0,1)$ and considering assumption (3.4), we deduce that

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{||u_n||_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}} \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u_n(x))(\widetilde{v}_n) dx < 0$$

for all n sufficiently large so $\int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u_n(x))(\widetilde{v}) dx < 0$ this is a contradiction, since by (3.39) and assumption 3.1 one has the $g(x, u_n(x))(\widetilde{v}) \geq 0$ on $x \in \partial\Omega$ for $n \geq n_0$, and the proof is complete.

□

Example 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ is a bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 and $\partial\Omega = A \cup B$, consider equation*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + c(x)u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \mu_1 u + g(x,u) + h(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Where μ_1 the first eigenvalue (1.2), and $g : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g(x,u) = \begin{cases} \mu_1 u(x)\sin^2(u(x)) & \forall x \in A \\ 0 & \forall x \in A \cap B \\ 0 & \forall x \in B \end{cases}$$

It is seen that that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled So that equation (3.40) has at least one solution for any $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ with

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h(x)\varphi_1(x)dx = 0$$

where φ_1 the first eigenfunction of (1.2) Obvously $g(x,.)$ is dos not satisfy the Landesman-Lazer conditions since

$$\limsup_{u\to-\infty} g(x,u) = \liminf_{u\to\infty} g(x,u) = 0$$

Notice that g is unbounded

Remark 3.4. *If you consider the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + c(x)u &= f(x,u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \mu_j u + g(x,u) + h(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Step by step the approach in 3.1 with obivous modifications in the

$$Dom(L) := \{u \in W_p^2(\Omega) : -\Delta u + c(x)u - f(x,u) = 0\}$$

and the notation, Then Eq(3.41) has at least one solution. [1]

References

[1] S. Ahmad, *A resonance problem in which the nonlinearity may grow linearly, Proc. Amer math. soc.92(1984),381-384*

[2] H. Amann. *Nonlinear elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. In New developments in differential equations, Proc. 2nd Schrveningen Conf. Diff. Eqns, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 21, pp. 43–64 (Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1976).*

[3] G. Auchmuty. *Steklov eigenproblems and the representation of solutions of elliptic boundary value problems. Numer. Func. Analysis Optim. 25 (2004), 321–348.*

[4] Ambrosetti, A. (Antonio) ., *A primer of nonlinear analysis ,Cambridge, University Press, 1993.*

[5] Ambrosio, L. (Luigi)., Dancer, N. (Norman)., Buttazzo., G. (Giuseppe)., Marino., A. (Antonio)., Murthy., M.K.V. (M.K. Venkatesha)., *Calculus of variations and partial differential equations: topics on geometrical evolution problems and degree theory, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 2000.*

[6] C. Bandle. *Isoperimetric inequalities and applications (London: Pitman, 1980).*

[7] Brezis,H.*Functional Analysis,Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations.Springer ,2011*

[8] Brown, Robert F., *A topological introduction to nonlinear analysis, Boston, Birkhauser, 1993.*

[9] A. Castro. *Semilinear equations with discrete spectrum. Contemp. Math. 347 (2004), 1–16.*

[10] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.*

[11] DE Figueredo D.G, *Semilinear elliptic at resonance; higher eigenvalues and unbounded nonlinearities* , in Recent Advances in defferential Eduations (Edited by Conti), pp. 89-99, Acadmeic Press, London 1981.

[12] R.Iannacci, M. N. Nkashama; *nonlinear two point boundary value problems at resonance without Landesman-lazer condition. Journal of Proceeding of the american mathematical society Vol.106, NO.4, pp 943-952, August 1989.*

[13] R.Iannacci, M. N. Nkashama; *Unbouned Perturbations of forced second oder ordinary differential equations at resonance. Journal of Differential Equations Vol.69, NO.4, pp 289-309, 1987.*

[14] R.Iannacci, M. N. Nkashama; *nonlinear boundary value problems at resonance. Journal of Nonlinear analysis, theory, methods,& applications Vol.11, NO.4, pp 455-473,1987. Printed in Great Britain.*

[15] E. Landesman and A. Lazer: *Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1970), 609-623.*

[16] Lloyd, N.G. , *Degree theory, Cambridge, University Press, 1978.*

[17] N. Mavinga and M. N. Nkashama. *Steklov–Neumann eigenproblems and nonlinear elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. J. Diff. Eqns 248 (2010), 1212–1229.*

[18] N. Mavinga and M. N. Nkashama. Nonresonance on the boundary and strong solutions of elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. Journal of Applied Functional Analysis,Vol.7,No3,243,257,2011.

[19] N. Mavinga, M. N. Nkashama; *Nonresonance on the boundary and strong solutions of elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. Journal of Applied functional Analysis, Vol.7, NO.3,248-257, copyright 2012 Eudoxus Press, LLC.*

[20] J. Mawhin; *Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary-value problems, in NSFCBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1979.*

[21] J. Mawhin J.R. Ward, and M.Willem, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of a nonlinear two-point boundary value, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.93(1985), 667-674*

[22] J. Mawhin and K. Schmitt. Corrigendum: *upper and lower solutions and semilinear second order elliptic equations with non-linear boundary conditions. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 100 (1985), 361.*

[23] J. Mawhin; *Topological degree and boundary-value problems for nonlinear differential equations, in: P.M. Fitzpertrick, M. Martelli, J. Mawhin, R. Nussbaum (Eds.), Topological Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1537, Springer, NewYork/Berlin, 1991.*

[24] J. Mawhin, *Landesman-Lazer conditions for boundary value problems: A nonlinear version of resonance, Bol. de la Sociedad Espãola de Mat.Aplicada 16 (2000), 45-65.*

[25] Taylor A.E,*Introduction to Functional Analysis, Jon Wiley & Sons, New York (1958).*

[26] l M. W. Steklov. *Sur les problèmes fundamentaux de la physique mathématique. Annalia Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 19 (1902), 455–490.*

[27] Zeidler, Eberhard. *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. v.1: Fixed-point theorems,New York, Springer-Verlag, 1986.*

Alzaki Fadlallah
Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, Alabama 35294-1170, USA
E-mail address: zakima99@@math.uab.edu